PATENT COOPERATION TREATY



INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

To:						PCT			
WO 600 Bos	LF, C Atlai ton, I	ntic A Mass	o. NFIELD & SACKS, I venue achusetts 02210 D'AMERIQUE	P.C.		WRITTEN OPINION (PCT Rule 66)			
						Date of mailing (day/month/year))	31.03.2004	·
Applicant's or agent's file reference R00579.70000						REPLY DUE		within 3 mont	
International application No. Internation PCT/US 03/25068 11.08.20					filing date <i>(day/month/year)</i> 3			Priority date (day/month/year) 09.08.2002	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC A47G7/02 DOCKETED									
Applicant RAMIREZ, Steven W.					APR	8 2004		Confirmation Docketing	Initials
1. 2.	This written opinion is the first drawn up by this International Preliminary Examining Authority. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:								
	l H	\boxtimes	Basis of the opinion Priority						NPF
	'' 		Non-establishment of	f opinion with re	egard to r	ovelty, inventive	step and	industrial applicabilit	v
	IV		Lack of unity of inven			·	•		•
	٧	\boxtimes	Reasoned statement citations and explana	under Rule 66 tions supportin	i.2(a)(ii) w	ith regard to nove atement	elty, inver	ntive step or industria	l applicability;
	VI		Certain documents c						
	VII		Certain defects in the	international a	application	n <u>.</u>			
	VIII	Certain observations on the international application							
3.	The	he applicant is hereby invited to reply to this opinion.							
	Whe	When? See the time limit indicated above. The request this Authority to grant an exten			e applicant may, before the expiration of that time limit, ision, see Rule 66.2(d).				
	How?		By submitting a written reply, accompanied, where appropriate, by amendments, according to Rule 66.3. For the form and the language of the amendments, see Rules 66.8 and 66.9.						
Also:		:	For an additional opportunity to submit amendments, see Rule 66.4. For the examiner's obligation to consider amendments and/or arguments, see Rule 66.4 bis. For an informal communication with the examiner, see Rule 66.6.						
	If no reply is filed, the international preliminary examination report will be established on the basis of this opinion.								
4.	The final date by which the international preliminary examination report must be established according to Rule 69.2 is: 09.12.2004								
		_							

Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:



European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

Authorized Officer

Beugeling, G.L.H.

Formalities officer (incl. extension of time limits) Viegas da Cruz, I Telephone No. +31 70 340-1923



I. Basis of the opinion

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this opinion as "originally filed"):

	Des	escription, Pages							
	1-1	1	as originally filed						
	Cla	ims, Numbers							
	1-2	7	as originally filed						
	Dra	wings, Sheets							
	1/13	3-13/13	as originally filed						
2.	With lang	ith regard to the language , all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the nguage in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.							
	The	ese elements were av	elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:						
		the language of publ	inslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)). ication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)). inslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under 3).						
3.	Witl inte	n regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the rnational preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:							
		contained in the inte	rnational application in written form.						
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.							
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.							
		☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.							
		The statement that the international a	at the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure al application as filed has been furnished.						
		The statement that the listing has been furn	ne information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence ished.						
4.	The	amendments have re	esulted in the cancellation of:						
		the description,	pages:						
		the claims,	Nos.:						
		the drawings,	sheets:						
5.		This opinion has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).							
6.	Add	dditional observations, if necessary:							

WRITTEN OPINION

International application No.

PCT/US 03/25068

V. Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Claims

1-13, 15-18, 20-25, 27

Inventive step (IS)

Claims

14

Industrial applicability (IA)

Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Re Item V

Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1) Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: EP 0 824 885 A,

D2: GB 990 705 A,

D3: EP 1 077 047 A,

D4: FR 1 271 112 A.

- 2) The subject-matter of claims 1-13, 15-18, 20-25, 27 is not novel (Article 33(2) PCT) for the following reasons.
- 2.1) D1 already discloses, see figures 6A, 6B and column 4, lines 2-12, a decorative display apparatus according to present claims 1, 2, 4-6, 8, 11, 12, 17, 21, 24, 25 and 27, in short (using the terms of present claim 1 but referring to D1): support (1, 9), interface (9, 20), magnet (31), magnetically attractable member (32), portion (9) of the interface, vase (1), clamp ((4), figure 3), or alternatively according to present claims 1, 3-6, 9-12, 17, 21 and 23-25: support (20), interface (30, 31, 32), magnet (31), magnetically attractable member (32), vase (1), insulating material (30).
- 2.2) D2 already discloses, see page 1, lines 59-80 and figure 1, a decorative display apparatus according to present claims 1, 2, 4, 8, 11, 13, 15-18, 20, 21 and 25, in short (using the terms of present claim 1 but referring to D2): support (3, 4), interface (1-3), magnet (1), portion (3) of the interface, sculpting wire (page 1, line 75), item (flower shaped horticultural lamp).
- 2.3) D3 already discloses, see column 11, lines 11-32 and figure 1, a decorative display apparatus according to present claims 1, 2, 4-6, 8-10, 12, 13, 15, 16 and 21, in short (using the terms of present claim 1 but referring to D3): support (4, 7), interface (2, 3), magnet (2), magnetically attractable member (3), portion (5) of the interface, test tube (7), sculpting wire (4), surface (11).
- 2.4) D4 already discloses, see figure 1, a decorative display apparatus according to

WRITTEN OPINION SEPARATE SHEET

present claims 1, 3-5, 7-9, 11, 12, 17, 21, 22 and 25, in short (using the terms of present claim 1 but referring to D4): support (2, 5), interface (3, 4a, 4b, 6), magnet (3), magnetically attractable member (6), surface (7), metallic plate (6), portion (3, 4a, 4b) of the interface, vase (5), pedestal (table (6)).

- 3) The feature of claim 14 is merely one of several straightforward possibilities from which a skilled person would select a material for the wire, in accordance with circumstances and without the exercise of inventive skill.
- 4) It appears that the dependencies of claims 17, 20, 26, 27 should read respectively 13-16, 13-16 (2nd dependency), 21-25 and "preceding".